Case 1:08-cv-01745-AKH Document	1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 1 of 11		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)		
JOHN CECERE AND LOIS CECERE	DOCKET NO.		
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT		
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY		
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY		
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defendants.			
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Heller 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, r all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.		
NOTICE	OF ADOPTION		
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in add Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, but the set of the se	I with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),		
Plaintiffs, JOHN CECERE AND LOIS CECEDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of	ERE, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER f Defendant(s), respectfully allege:		

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. citizen of		✓ Plaintiff, JOHN York residing at 761			•		dual and a
(OR)							
2.		Alternatively, \square		is the		of Decedent	
		, and brings this	claim in his (her	r) capacity as	s of the Es	state of	·

Cas	se 1:08-cv-01745-AKH Document	1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 2 of 11		
3. residing at 761 the Injured Pla	West Fingerboard Road, Staten Island aintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times h JOHN CECERE, and brings th	the "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a citizen of New York, NY 10305-, and has the following relationship to herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff his derivative action for her (his) loss due to the		
		nd (his wife), Plaintiff JOHN CECERE. Other:		
4. Department N	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 11/10/ew York (FDNY) as a Firefighter at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire		
P	lease be as specific as possible when fil	lling in the following dates and locations		
=====================================	Trade Center Site	□ The Barge		
	e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;		
	out <u>9/11/2001</u> until <u>11/10/2001</u> ; y <u>8</u> hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		
Approximately	y <u>60</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
From on or ab Approximately	out hours per day; for days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	=======================================	From on or about until;		
From on or ab Approximately Approximately	out; yhours per day; for ydays total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
*Continue th	is information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	Was exposed to and breathed n above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	\square BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Filed 02/19/2008 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:08-cv-01745-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial	` '		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
		П	Other:

Case 1:08-cv-01745-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.

Cancer Injury: N/A.

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/11/2008 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 2/11/2008 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Grou dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	the injurwill in the	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the he future suffer the following compensable
V	Pain and suffering		
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of		
✓	retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		
✓	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined.		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York February 18, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), John Cecere and Lois Cecere

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York February 18, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	JOHN CECERE (AND WIFE, LOIS CECERE),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
=============	To Attorney(s) for
=========	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
PLEASE TA	AKE NOTICE:
that t	OF ENTRY he within is a (certified) true copy of an entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
that a will b judge withi	OF SETTLEMENT an order of which the within is a true copy of presented for settlement to the HON. so of the named Court, at 20 at M. Yours, etc.,
☐ NOTICE that the duly of the second	To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for AKE NOTICE: OF ENTRY he within is a (certified) true copy of an entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 OF SETTLEMENT of which the within is a true of the presented for settlement to the HON. so of the at M. 1,